acceptance Lab

AD

TECHNICAL REPORT 74-24-FL

EFFECT OF FREEZE-THAW CYCLE ON MEAL, READY-TO-EAT, INDIVIDUAL 1966 PROTOTYPE

by

Jessie W. McNutt

and

Frances H. Lee

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

February 1974

UNITED STATES ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES Natick, Massachusetts 01760



Food Laboratory

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

TECHNICAL REPORT 74-24-FL

EFFECT OF FREEZE-THAW CYCLE ON MEAL, READY-TO-EAT, INDIVIDUAL 1966 PROTOTYPE

by

Jessie W. McNutt Frances H. Lee

Project reference: 1G764713D548

Series: FL-183

January 1973

Food Laboratory
U.S. Army Natick Laboratories
Natick, Massachusetts 01760

r

FOREWORD

The Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual is a complete meal type ration for one individual. It provides individual meals containing food components which are ready-to-eat and highly acceptable when consumed under conditions precluding preparation, except reconstituting of beverages. When completely developed, this Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual is scheduled to replace the Meal, Combat, Individual and therefore, will be used in combat for and under all circumstances where planned resupply is established but where it is impractical to provide for large or small group feeding. Packaging is light weight and suitable for use in lieu of mess gear. In fact, all components of the various menus of the 1966 Prototype described herein were packaged in appropriate laminate of flexible materials.

This study was undertaken to provide quality data on foods which have undergone prescribed freezing and thawing conditions, as it is a military requirement that these foods be able to withstand repeated freezing and thawing involving exposure, in the shipping cases to temperatures as high as 125°F. (52°C.) for as long as 2 hours per day and as low as -65°F. (-54°C.) without significant loss of nutritional adequacy, acceptability and utility. This study determined the effect of freeze-thawing cycling on the quality (color, odor, flavor, texture and appearance) of this 1966 Prototype, Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual.

Appreciation is expressed to Mary Klicka, Ration Design Specialist, Joel Sidel, formerly of Pioneering Research Laboratory, and Margaret Branagan, Food Laboratory, for their valuable assistance.

This work was conducted under Project No. 1G764713D548, Military Subsistence Systems as was Technical Report 69-86 FL, "Effect of Freeze Thaw Cycling on the Vitamin Content of the Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual, by M. H. Thomas, et al.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ταίξε
Foreword	· i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	111
Abstract	iv
Introduction	1
Methods and Materials	1
Results and Discussion	2
Conclusions	3
References	4

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual Menus	5-6
Table 2.	Preparation of Food Items for Serving	7-8
Table 3.	Averages of Ratings by 10 Food Technologists on 39 Different Foods From Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual (1966 Prototype) Control Through Six Freeze-Thaw Cycles	9–11

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
igure I.	Rating Sheet	12

ABSTRACT

The effect of freezing and thawing on the 1966 Prototype of the Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual, was studied to determine if there were changes in 39 food items in the ration. Using six controlled freezing and thawing cycles, it was found that there was little change in ratings due to freezing and thawing when compared to control samples stored at 40°F. (4°C.). A decline in quality of chicken a la king was noted after second cycle and after fourth cycle in beef stew.

INTRODUCTION

This study was undertaken to evaluate 39 food items from the Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual, which were subjected to controlled freezing and thawing conditions.

Each food item was packed in polyester-foil-polyolefin (heat sealable) laminated bags or pouches. Meat and bakery items had an additional paperboard folder or carton overwrap. The foods were combined in 14 different menus (meals), each designed to provide a nutritionally balanced, 1135 calorie, acceptable combination (Table 1). The menu combination was packed in a carton measuring $4\ 3/4\ x\ 2\ 1/2\ x\ 7\ 1/4$ inches (12.1 x 6.4 x 18.4 centimeters).

The fourteen menus included heat-processed foods such as meats, beans in tomato sauce, and pineapple; freeze-dehydrated foods such as pork sausage patties, beef patties, apricots, peaches and strawberries; baked dessert items; bread rolls; crackers; cereal bars; cheese spread, jelly and peanut butter; plastic spoons and accessory packets containing coffee, cream, sugar, salt and all standard non-food accessory items included with MCI.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

For testing, three food items were selected from 13 menus (see Table 1). Menu 1 was not tested because previous experience indicated that freeze-dried pork sausage patties would give results similar to freeze-dried beef patties (Menu 4). Items evaluated are described in Table 2.

Storage

Test cycle, as follows, was repeated up to six times:

- a. Freezing at approximately -65°F. (-54°C.) 16 hours
- b. Thawing at room temperature (approximately 70°F.) (21°C.) 24 hours
- c. Holding at 125°F. (51°C.) for 2 hours
- d. Cooling at room temperature

Prior to and after the test cycle, samples were held in 40°F. (4°C.) storage as were the Control samples.

Quality Evaluations

The cycled foods were then evaluated by an expert panel of 10 food technologists using a 9-point quality scale (Figure I). For each evaluation test, the panel was drawn from a pool of 16 technologists.

The three items from each menu, stored at 6 cycles and under control, were evaluated at one time, giving 21 samples for each test. Preparation of food items is indicated in Table 2. One serving portion of each food was examined by panel members to determine any physical differences and smaller portions were served for subjective evaluations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Averages of rating scores by the panel are shown in Table 3. A treatment by subject design was used for statistical analysis of data. Only seven food items showed any statistically significant changes at the .05 level of probability.

a. Foods showing significant changes

(1) Barbecue Beef. The average decrease in rating between control sample and cycle 6 (six freeze-thaw cycles) was not statistically significant, but there was a significant difference between the control and cycle 4. Comments by the testers indicated that changes in rating were due to a variation in the product, rather than freezing and thawing; e.g., cycle 4 was "like ground meat" and cycle 2 had a 'spicier sauce".

Four testers found no change in rating throughout the cycles, and seven testers rated cycle 6 as high as the control sample. Comments from the testers showed that there was softening of texture beginning with cycle 1, and some breakdown of the sauce.

- (2) Beef Stew. The average decrease in ratings between the control sample and cycle 6 was gradual and was statistically significant for cycles 4, 5, and 6. Some changes were noted in cycle 1, such as slight separation in the sauce, softening of potatoes, lima beans and carrots. These changes were noted in all of the cycles, with cycle 6 showing darkening potatoes, some free liquid, more softness of vegetables and softening of the meat.
- (3) Bread Roll. The average decrease in rating between control sample and cycle 6 was not statistically significant, but there was a significant change between control and cycle 3 and cycle 4.

Five of the testers gave no change in the rating throughout the seven samples, but the item rated low at the start. Comments from technologists were that changes in rating were due to variation in the product and not from the freezing and thawing. Five of the seven average ratings were below a rating of 5.0.

(4) Chicken a la King. Changes occurring in this product were significant statistically in cycles 2, 4, 5 and 6. Comments indicate the breakdown of the sauce began in cycle 1, with a softening in texture in cycle 2.

(5) Chicken Loaf. There was a significant decrease in rating between control and cycle 5, but no significance between control and cycle 6. Thus, it would seem that this significance was not due entirely to freezing and thawing.

Two testers noted a variety in the spiciness, which probably indicates a variation in the product. Other comments showed that the product became grainy beginning at cycle 2. Cycles 5 and 6 became softer.

- (6) Orange Cereal Bar. There was a slight but significant decrease in rating between control and cycle 2, 4, 5 and 6. Seven testers showed no change in ratings of the different samples, but the item rated low at the start.
- (7) Sausage Links. There was a statistically significant decrease between control and cycle 6, but samples from the other cycles received ratings of the same order of magnitude as the cycle 6 sample.

Six testers noted no change in rating through the samples, though comments noted a softening of texture with cycle 1 and more apparent in subsequent cycles.

- b. Foods not showing statistically significant changes, but were of interest
- (1) Beans with Tomato Sauce. Beans with tomato sauce increased slightly in rating due to the freeze-thaw cycling. The rating increase probably was due to a softening of the texture in the beans.
- (2) Others. There was some variation within the cycles, but not significant over the six freeze-thaw cycles tested as shown in the averages of potato pattie, chocolate with almonds, chocolate covered brownies, peaches and apricots (some testers rated apricots and some rated peaches).

CONCLUSIONS

There was little change in the ratings of food due to the freeze-thaw cycles. Beef Stew and Chicken a la King seemed to be the most affected with a breakdown of the sauce and softening texture in the vegetables, beginning with the first freeze-thaw cycle. The average ratings of these showed that the quality remained at fair or above throughout all of the cycles.

REFERENCES

- (1) Amerine, M. A., R. M. Panghorn and E. B. Roessler. Principles of Sensory Evaluation of Food. Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- (2) Manual on Sensory Testing Methods STP434, American Society for Testing and Materials: Philadelphia, PA, 1968.

Menu 1 a	Menu 2	Menu 3	Menu 4 a
 Chicken loaf Peaches Apricot cereal bar Bread roll Jelly Chocolate bar with almonds Coffee Cream substitute Sugar 	 4 — Bacon 5 — Beans with tomato sauce Apricots Bread roll Cheese spread 6 — Cookies, chocolate covered Coffee Cream substitute Sugar 	 7 — Ham and chicken loaf Bread roll 8 — Peanut butter 9 — Chocolate nut roll Peaches Coffee Cream substitute Sugar Catsup 	 10 — Beef loaf Beans with tomato sauce 11 — Bread roll Peanut butter 12 — Raisin nut cake Coffee Cream substitute Sugar
Menu 5	Menu 6	Menu 7	Menu 8
13 — Barbecue beef Potato pattie Bread roll Peanut butter 14 — Strawberries 15 — Fudge bar Coffee Cream substitute Sugar	 16 — Chicken a la King	19 — Ground beef in pickle flavored sauce Potato pattie Bread roll 20 — Cheese spread Cookies, chocolate covered 21 — Fruit tablet Coffee Cream substitute Sugar	 22 — Beef Stew 23 — Crackers Peanut butter 24 — Pound cake Orange cereal bar Coffee Cream substitute Sugar

^{*}Numbered items - foods which were tested.

Table 1

Meal,	Ready-to-Eat,	Individual	Menus*	(cont'd)
	Loudy to muc,	III WIT I G G G G	TAICHUS	(COIII G

Menu 9	Menu 10	Menu 11	Menu 12
25 – Frankfurters	28 — Sausage links	31 - Beef steak	Chicken loaf
Bread roll	29 — Orange cereal bar	Bread roll	34 — Potato pattie
Jelly	Bread roll	32 — Jelly	Bread roll
26 - Chocolate covered brownies	Cheese spread	33 – Fruitcake	Jelly
27 - Coffee	30 - Peaches and	Chocolate bar with	35 — Cocoa
Cream substitute	apricots	almonds	36 — Orange nut roll
Sugar	Coffee	Coffee	Coffee
Catsup mix	Cream substitute	Cream substitute	Cream substitute
	Sugar	Sugar	Sugar
Annual Control	Catsup mix	Catsup mix	Catsup mix

Menu 4

6

37 - Beef patties

38 — Soup and gravy base, beef Beans with tomato sauce

Bread roll Cheese spread

Cocoa Peaches Coffee

Cream substitute Sugar

39 - Catsup mix

Menu 1 (Not Used)

**Pineapple

**Pork sausage patties Apricot cereal bar

Bread roll

Jelly

Chocolate with almonds

Coffee

**Cream substitute

Sugar

Catsup mix

^{*}Numbered items - foods which were tested.

^{**}Foods which were not tested.

Table 2

Preparation of Food Items For Serving

Three portions of each item were cut into smaller samples to serve the ten panelists.

MEATS

Bacon, prefried
Barbecued beef
Beef loaf
Beef patties, freeze dried
Beef steak
Beef stew
Chicken a la King
Chicken loaf
Frankfurters
Ground beef in pickle sauce
Ham and chicken loaf
Sausage links

OTHER ITEMS USING TRAYS

Beans with tomato sauce Peanut butter

CEREAL, BAKERY PRODUCTS, CANDIES AND POTATO PATTIE

Apricot cereal bar Orange cereal bar

Bread roll
Crackers
Chocolate covered brownies
Chocolate nut roll
Cookies, chocolate covered
Date pudding

METHOD OF SERVING

Plastic trays, having seven compartments were used. Compartments were labeled c, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Foods were served at room temperature.

Served on sheets of paper labeled c, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

~!!!L

Preparation of Food Items For Serving (cont'd)

MEATS

METHOD OF SERVING

Fruit cake Orange nut roll Pound cake Raisin nut cake

Chocolate bar with almonds Fruit tablet Fudge bar Vanilla cream bar

Potato pattie

FRUITS

α

Strawberries

Peaches and apricots

Served in individual paper souffle cups, not rehydrated.

SPREADS AND CATSUP

Catsup

Cheese spread (kneaded in the package before serving)

Jelly

Served in individual one-cunce paper portion cups.

LIQUIDS

Cocoa

Coffee

Soup and gravy base, beef

Served hot at the food counter where panelists served themselves in china mugs.

Table 3

Menu	Meat & Protein Foods	Control	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5	Cycle 6
2	Bacon, prefried	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.5	6.5	6.5
5	Barbecued beef	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.8	6.3*	6.7	6.6
4 a	Beef loaf	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.2
4	Beef patties, freeze dried	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.4	6.1	5.9	6.2
11	Beef steak	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.9
8	Beef stew	6.9	6.4	6.1	6.0	5.8*	5.6*	5.6*
6	Chicken a la King	6.8	6.5	5.9*	6.2	5.7*	5.9*	5.9*
1a	Chicken loaf	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.9	6.6	6.4*	6.5
9	Frankfurters	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.0	6.0
7	Ground beef in pickle sauce	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6
3	Ham and chicken loaf	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.6
10	Sausage links	6.4	6.1	5.7	5.8	6.0	5.8	5.6*
2	Beans with tomato sauce	6.8	6.7	7.0	6.8	7.0	6.9	7.1
3	Peanut butter	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.0	7.0

¹Overall Quality Ratings are based upon color, odor, flavor, texture and appearance of each food. *Indicates foods showing statistically significant changes between Control Rating and Freeze-Thaw Cycle.

Table 3

Averages of Overall Quality Ratings¹ by 10 Food Technologists
On 39 Different Foods From Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual (1966 Prototype)
Control Through Six Freeze-Thaw Cycles (cont'd)

Menu	Candies, Fruits, Spreads Catsup and Beverages	Control	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5	Cycle 6
1a	Chocolate bar with almonds	7.4	6.5	6.8	6.8	6.6	6.6	6.4
7	Fruit tablet	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2
5	Chocolate fudge bar	. 7.3	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.1
6	Vanilla cream bar	6.4	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.6
5	Strawberries	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
10	Peaches and apricots	7.1	7.0	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.3	6.3
4	Catsup mix	6.1	6.1	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.2
7	Cheese spread	6.6	6.1	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2
11	Jelly	7.0	6.8	7.0	6.8	6.7	6.7	7.1
12	Cocoa	7.2	7.3	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.1
9	Coffee	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.8
4	Soup and gravy base, beef	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.3

¹Overall Quality Ratings are based upon color, odor, flavor, texture and appearance of each food.

Table 3 Averages of Overall Quality Ratings¹ by 10 Food Technologists On 39 Different Foods From Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual (1966 Prototype) Control Through Six Freeze-Thaw Cycles

Menu	Cereal, Bakery Products, Potato Pattie	Control	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cualo 2	Carala A	0.55	
	· otato i utilio	00111101	Oycle I	Cythe 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5	Cycle 6
1a	Apricot cereal bar	5.8	6.1	5.7	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.8
10	Orange cereal bar	6.0	5.8	5.6*	5.7	5.6*	5.6*	5.6*
4a	Bread roll	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.9
8	Crackers	7.1	6.9	6.9	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.9
9	Chocolate covered brownies	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.4	5.6	5.7
3	Chocolate nut roll	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6
2	Cookies, chocolate covered	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.1	7. 1	7.1	7.1
6	Date pudding	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.0	6.1	6.1
11	Fruit cake	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.3	6.6	6.6
12	Orange nut roll	6.6	6.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.5
8	Pound cake	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5
4 a	Raisin nut cake	5.9	6.1	5.7	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.9
12	Potato pattie	6.8	6.3	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.8	6.7

¹Overall Quality Ratings are based upon color, odor, flavor, texture and appearance of each food. *Indicates foods showing statistically significant changes between Control Rating and Freeze-Thaw Cycle.

RATING SHEET

Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual Multiple Discrimination Test

•	Test Number
	Menu
ı	Name

Extremely Very Poor Poor Below Fair Fair Below Good Good Very Good Excellent Poor 1 2 3 Above⁴ Poor 5 Above⁶ Fair 7 8 9

Using the above scale, rate the overall quality of each of the products. There will be seven ratings for each food.

	Sample		Sa	ample		Sample_		·
Cycle	Rating	Comment	Cycle	Rating	Comment	Cycle	Rating	Comment
C								
- 1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6		-						

12

FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST

Experimental Kitchens Division

- 1 Commander
 U.S. Army Combat Development
 Command
 ATTN: CDCMS-O
 Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
- 2 Commander
 U.S. Army Combat Development
 Command
 Supply Agency
 ATTN: CDCSA-R
 Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 2 Commander
 U.S. Army Medical Nutrition
 Laboratory
 Fitzsimons General Hospital
 Denver, Colorado 80240
- Commanding Officer
 U.S. Navy Subsistence Office
 ATTN: Mrs. Marjorie Kehoe
 Washington, D.C. 20390
- 2 Commandant of the Marine Corps Headquarters U.S. Marine Corps ATTN: Code RD-44 Washington, D.C. 20380
- Director AF Hospital Food Service Headquarters USAF/SGB-1 6B153 James Forrestal Building Washington, D.C. 20314
- 1 Commander
 U.S. Army Foreign Science &
 Technical Center
 ATTN: AMXST-GE (Victoria Dibbern)
 220 7th Street, N.E.
 Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

- 1 Commander
 U.S. Army Materiel Command
 ATTN: AMCRD-JI
 5001 Eisenhower Avenue
 Alexandría, Virginia 22304
- 1 Commanding Officer
 U.S. Air Force Service
 Office (AFLC)
 ATTN: Mrs. Germaine Gotshall
 2800 South 20th Street
 Philadelphia, Pa. 19101
- 1 Commander
 U.S. Army Medical Research
 & Development Command
 ATTN: SGRD-MDI-N
 Washington, D.C. 20314
- Director
 Division of Biology & Medicine
 U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20545
- Commandant of the Marine Corps Headquarters U.S. Marine Corps ATTN: Code LFS-4 Washington, D.C. 20380
- 1 Chief, U.S. Army Food Service CenterATTN: DALO-TAD-DFort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 2 HQDA (DALO-TSS) Washington, D.C. 20310
- 2 Chief, U.S. Army Food Service
 Center
 ATTN: Dir/Food Service
 Operations
 Fort Lee, Virginia 23801

FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST (cont'd)

Experimental Kitchens Division

- 1 Library
 USDA, Southern Regional
 Research Center
 P.O. Box 19687
 New Orleans, Louisiana 70179
- 5 U.S. Department of Agriculture
 Animal & Plant Health & Inspection
 Service
 ATTN: Director, Standards &
 Services Division
 Washington, D.C. 20250
- USDA, National Agricultural Library Current Serial Record Beltsville, Maryland 20705
- Administrator
 Agricultural Research Service
 U.S. Department of Agriculture
 ATTN: Dr. Fred Senti
 Washington, D.C. 20250
- Dr. I. A. Wolff, Director

 Eastern Marketing & Nutrition
 Research Division

 Agricultural Research Service
 U.S. Department of Agriculture
 Wyndmoor, Pennsylvania 19118
- 1 Dr. G. E. Goheen, Acting Director Southern Regional Research Center Agricultural Research Service U.S. Department of Agriculture P.O. Box 19687 New Orleans, Louisiana 70179
- Dr. C. H. Harry Neufeld, Director Southeastern Marketing & Nutrition Research Division Agricultural Research Service U.S. Department of Agriculture P.O. Box 5677 Athens, Georgia 30604

- 1 D. F. Davis
 USDA ARS
 P.O. Box 14565
 Gainesville, Florida 32601
- 2 Headquarters 12th Support Brigade ACofS Services ATTN: Food Advisor Fort Bragg, North Carolina 28307
- 1 Chief, U.S. Army Food Service
 Center
 ATTN: Dir/Commissary Operations
 Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- Dr. Frank R. Fisher

 Executive Director, ABMPS
 National Academy of Sciences
 National Research Council
 2101 Constitution Avenue
 Washington, D.C. 20418
- Dr. K. C. Emerson
 Assistant for Research
 Office of Assistant Secretary
 of the Army (R&D)
 Department of the Army
 Washington, D.C. 20310
- 1 CDR Harold J. Janson, MSC, USN Head, Food Service Branch Bureau of Medicine & Surgery Navy Department Washington, D.C. 20390
- 1 Dr. Louis J. Ronsivalli
 Fishery Products Technology
 Laboratory
 U.S. Department of Commerce
 National Oceanic & Atmospheric
 Administration
 National Marine Fisheries Service
 Northern Region
 Emerson Avenue
 Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930

FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST

Experimental Kitchesn Division (cont'd)

- Technical Service Branch
 Technical Operation Division
 Directorate Subsistence
 Defense Personnel Support Center
 ATTN: Director of Subsistence
 DPSC-STC
 2800 South 20th Street
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101
- Director
 Biology Sciences Division
 Office of Naval Research
 Department of the Navy
 Washington, D.C. 20360
- U.S. Department of Agriculture
 Consumer & Marketing Service
 ATTN: Ch, Product Standards Branch
 Standards & Services Division
 Washington, D.C. 20250
- Headquarters, Defense Supply Agency
 ATTN: Mr. Jobe, DSAH-OP Cameron Station
 Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- Dr. William H. Brown
 Chairman, Committee on Radiation
 Preservation of Food, NAS/NRC
 President, American Bacteriology
 & Chemical Research Corp.
 P.O. Box 1557
 Gainesville, Florida 32601
- Stimson Library
 ATTN: Documents Librarian
 US Army Medical Field Service
 School
 Brooke Army Medical Center
 Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234

- 1 Arctic Medical Research
 Laboratory (USARIEM) Alaska
 APO, Seattle, Washington 98731
- 1 Col. James L. Fowler, VC Ch, Food Hygiene Division U.S. Army Laboratory Nutrition Laboratory Fitzsimons General Hospital Denver, Colorado 80240
- Consumer Products Division, 730
 Bureau of Domestic Commerce
 U.S. Department of Commerce
 Washington, D.C. 20230
- 1 HQDA (DARD-ARL) Washington, D.C. 20310
- 1 Subsistence Management Policy
 Director
 ATTN: OASD (I&L)
 Pentagon 2B323
 Washington, D.C. 20301
- 3 Office of the Coordinator of Research University of Rhode Island Kingston, Rhode Island 02881
- 3 Exchange & Gift Division Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 20540
- Headquarters, USAF (AF/RDPS)
 DCS/Research & Development
 Washington, D.C. 20330
- Subsistence & Culinary Arts

 Department
 U.S. Army QM School
 Et. Lee, Virginia 23801

FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST

Experimental Kitchens Division (cont'd)

- 1 Logistics Library Bunker Hall Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 20 NRC Committee Members
- 1 Air Force Services Office DPKF
 2800 South 20th Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101
- 1 USAFSAM SME: Attn: Dr. Vanderveen Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235
- Headquarters
 Air Force Systems Command (DLH)
 Andrews Air Force Base, Md. 20331
- 1 Government Documents Department
 University of California Library
 Davis, California 95616
- Food Service School
 Service Support Schools
 Marine Corps Base
 Camp Lejeune, NC 28542
- Dr. J. E. Roberts
 Manager, Analytical and
 Technical Services
 RJR Foods, Inc.
 Department of Food Science
 and Technology
 P.O. Box 3037
 Winston-Salem, NC 27102

FOOD LABORATORY INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

- 24 Program Coordination Office, Food Laboratory, NLABS (12 for transmittal to Defense Documentation Center)
- 2 Technical Library, NLABS
- 7 Division Chiefs, Food Laboratory, NLABS
- 2 Marine Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 3 Air Force Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 1 Special Assistant for DOD Food Program, ATTN: Dr. E. E. Anderson, NLABS
- 1 US Army Representative for DOD Food Program, NLABS
- 1 US Air Force Representative for DOD Food Program, NLABS
- 1 US Navy Representative for DOD Food Program, NLABS
- 2 Chief, Quality Assurance and Engineering Office, ATTN: Standardization Management and Quality Assurance Branch (Mr. Richman), NLABS
- 3 Director, General Equipment and Packaging Laboratory, NLABS
- 3 Director, Pioneering Research Laboratory, NLABS
- 30 Project Officer, Food Laboratory, NLABS
- 25 Alternate Project Officer, Food Laboratory, NLABS

		-	
			·

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. JOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
FL-183		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	Military and the second	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Effect of Freeze-Thaw Cycle on Me Individual, 1966 Prototype	al, Ready-to-Eat	Final
in interviewal, 1,00 110000, po		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
Jessie W. McNutt and Frances H. L	ee	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Experimental Kitchens Division Food Laboratory		1G764713D548
US Army Natick Laboratories, Nati	Lck. MA	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
US Army Natick Laboratories		February 1974
Natick, MA		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If differen	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
MONITORING NOCIO		, , ,
		Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
This document has been approved to unlimited.	for public releas	se and sale; its distribution
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different fro	m Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number)	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
	<u>Ro</u>	
Stability	8	7
Quality Evaluation	8 8	7 7 (aontinuod)
		7 (continued)
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	i identify by block number)	

The effect of freezing and thawing on the 1966 Prototype of the Meal, Ready-to-Eat, Individual, was studied to determine if there were changes in 39 food items in the ration. Using six controlled freezing and thawing cycles, it was found that there was little change in ratings due to freezing and thawing when compared to control samples stored at 40°F. (4°C.). A decline in quality of chicken a la king was noted after second cycle and after fourth cycle in beef stew.

CL	JRITY	CL	ASSIF	CATIO	IO MC	THIS	PAGE(Man	Deta	Batered)	

	LINK A	LINK B
	Role WT	<u>Role WT</u>
Meal, Ready-To-Eat, Individual	9	7
Military Rations	9	$\dot{\gamma}$
Chicken A La King	9	7
Stew	9	7
Beef Stew	9	7
Freezing	·	6
Thawing		6